

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/600,056	Applicant(s) HERLE ET AL.	
	Examiner Michael J. Yigdoll	Art Unit 2192	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Michael J. Yigdoll.

(3) _____.

(2) David Roe (Reg. No. 55,785).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 May 2010

Time: 5:00 PM ET

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

5, 15, 25 and 30

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Agreed to an examiner's amendment to correct the dependency of claims 5, 15, 25 and 30.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Michael J. Yigdoll/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2192

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)